

Field-induced chiral phase in isotropic frustrated spin chains

Alexei Kolezhuk^{1,*} and Temo Vekua^{2,†}

¹*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hannover, Appelstraße 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany*

²*Université Louis Pasteur, Laboratoire de Physique Théorique,
3 Rue de l'Université, 67084 Strasbourg Cédex, France*

It is shown that an external magnetic field applied to a spin- S isotropic zigzag chain induces a phase with spontaneously broken parity, characterized by long range ordering of vector chirality. This is in contrast to the two-component Luttinger liquid scenario proposed in the literature. Relevance to real materials is discussed.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 75.40.Cx, 75.40.Gb, 75.30.Kz

Introduction. – In recent years, phases with broken vector chirality in quantum spin chains have attracted a considerable interest [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. They are characterized by a nonzero expectation value of the vector product of two adjacent spins $\vec{\kappa}_n = \langle \vec{S}_n \times \vec{S}_{n+1} \rangle$, so that in a chirally ordered phase spins tend to “rotate” in a certain preferred plane predominantly clockwise or counterclockwise. This type of order breaks only a discrete symmetry between left and right and thus is allowed in one dimension (1D), in contrast to the long-range helical spin order [6, 7]. Recently, chirally ordered phases were numerically found in frustrated chains with easy-plane anisotropy [2, 5]. The chiral ordering transition has possibly been observed experimentally in the quasi-1D anisotropic organic magnet Gd(hfac)₃NiTiPr [8].

In this Letter we show that a chiral phase emerges in *isotropic* frustrated spin chains as well, if they are subject to a strong external magnetic field. We focus on the model of a zigzag chain defined by the Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H} = J_1 \sum_j \vec{S}_j \cdot \vec{S}_{j+1} + J_2 \sum_j \vec{S}_j \cdot \vec{S}_{j+2} - h \sum_j S_j^z \quad (1)$$

where $\vec{S}_j$ are spin- S operators at the j -th site, and $J_{1,2} > 0$. It is easy to analyse the *classical* counterpart of the above model, where spins are represented by vectors, $(S_n^\pm, S_n^z) \mapsto (S \sin \phi_n e^{\pm i\theta_n}, S \cos \phi_n)$. The applied field selects a preferred plane, reducing the symmetry to U(1). Depending on the frustration strength $\alpha = J_2/J_1$, the in-plane ground state configuration is given by $\theta_n = (\pi \pm \lambda)n$, with $\lambda = 0$ for $\alpha < 1/4$ and $\lambda = \arccos(1/4\alpha)$ for $\alpha > 1/4$, respectively. The spins are canted towards the field, $\cos \phi_n = h/h_s$, where $h_s = 4S\{J_1 \cos^2(\lambda/2) + J_2 \sin^2 \lambda\}$ is the saturation field. The classical ground state is a canted antiferromagnet for α below the Lifshits point $\frac{1}{4}$, while for $\alpha > \frac{1}{4}$ one has two degenerate helical ground states, as reflected by the $\pm$ signs above, which correspond to the left and right chirality $\kappa = \pm S^2 \sin \lambda$. Thus, for $\alpha > \frac{1}{4}$ in presence of a field the initial SU(2) symmetry is reduced to U(1) $\times$ Z₂.

In the *quantum* case the U(1) symmetry cannot be broken, but it is allowed to break the discrete Z₂ chiral symmetry. Such a scenario is realized in *anisotropic* chains,

where two different chiral phases were found [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. A natural question arises: Can an external magnetic field act similarly to the *xy* anisotropy [9], favoring the chiral order in *isotropic* spin chains? Recent numerical studies [10, 11] propose the scenario of a *two-component Luttinger liquid* without any breaking of the Z₂ symmetry, casting doubts on the above idea. The aim of the present Letter is to show that the correct high-field physics of isotropic frustrated chains is indeed determined by the spontaneous breaking of the chiral symmetry.

S = 1/2 chain. – We start with the extreme quantum spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ case which admits a field-theoretical description based on the bosonization approach. Consider the limit of strong frustration $\alpha \gg 1$ and strong magnetic fields $h \sim J_2$. The system may be viewed as two chains weakly coupled by the zigzag interaction J_1 . A single spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chain in uniform magnetic field is known to be critical, its low-energy physics being effectively described by the standard Gaussian theory [12] known also as the Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{v}{2} \int dx \left\{ \frac{1}{K} (\partial_x \phi)^2 + K (\partial_x \theta)^2 \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Here ϕ is a compactified scalar bosonic field and θ is its dual, $\partial_t \phi = v \partial_x \theta$, with the commutation relations $[\phi(x), \theta(y)] = i\Theta(y-x)$, where $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside function and the regularization $[\phi(x), \theta(x)] = i/2$ is assumed. Integrability of the $S = \frac{1}{2}$ chain model makes possible to relate explicitly the coupling constants of the theory, the spin wave velocity v and the Luttinger liquid (LL) parameter K , to the microscopic parameters J_2 , h . The exact functional dependences $v(h)$ and $K(h)$ are known (see [13] and references therein) from the numerical solution of the Bethe ansatz integral equations [14]. Particularly, K increases with the magnetic field from $K(h=0) = \frac{1}{2}$ to $K = 1$ for h approaching the saturation value $2J_2$.

In the infrared limit the following representation of the lattice spin operators holds [12]:

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^z &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \partial_x \phi + \frac{a}{\pi} \sin \{2k_F x + \sqrt{4\pi} \phi\} + m \\ S_n^- &= (-1)^n e^{-i\theta \sqrt{\pi}} \{c + b \sin (2k_F x + \sqrt{4\pi} \phi)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here $m(h)$ is the ground state magnetization per spin which determines the Fermi wave vector $k_F = (\frac{1}{2} - m)\pi$ and is known exactly from the Bethe ansatz results [14]. Nonuniversal constants a , b , and c for general h have been extracted numerically from the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) calculations [15].

We treat the J_1 interchain coupling term perturbatively, representing two decoupled chains in terms of Gaussian models of the form (2). It is convenient to pass to the symmetric and antisymmetric combinations of the fields describing the individual chains, $\phi_{\pm} = (\phi_1 \pm \phi_2)/\sqrt{2K}$ and $\theta_{\pm} = (\theta_1 \pm \theta_2)\sqrt{K/2}$. The effective Hamiltonian describing low-energy properties of the model (1) takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} &= \mathcal{H}_0^+ + \mathcal{H}_0^- + \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}, \\ \mathcal{H}_0^{\pm} &= \frac{v}{2}[(\partial_x \theta_{\pm})^2 + (\partial_x \phi_{\pm})^2], \\ \mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} &= g_1 \cos k_F \cos(k_F + \sqrt{8\pi K_-} \phi_-) \\ &\quad - g_2 \partial_x \theta_+ \sin(\sqrt{2\pi/K_-} \theta_-).\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

Only the relevant terms are shown here [16], including the “twist operator” with nonzero conformal spin [1]. The Fermi velocity $v \propto J_2$, while the couplings $g_{0,1,2} \propto J_1 \ll v$. The renormalized LL parameter is given by

$$K_- = K(h) \left\{ 1 + J_1 K(h) / (\pi v(h)) \right\}. \quad (5)$$

Note that in the first order of J_1/J_2 the correction to K_- for the zigzag type of interchain coupling is twice larger compared to that for the ladder type of coupling.

The inter-sector part of (4) contains a term which can be identified as an infrared limit of the product of in-chain and interchain chiralities: one can show that

$$\partial_x \theta_+ \sin \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{K_-}} \theta_- \propto (\kappa_{2i-1,2i+1}^z + \kappa_{2i,2i+2}^z) \kappa_{2i,2i+1}^z, \quad (6)$$

where $\kappa_{i,j}^z \equiv (\vec{S}_i \times \vec{S}_j)^z$. All the other terms, omitted in (4), are made either irrelevant or incommensurate by the external magnetic field. The Hamiltonian (4) gives the minimal effective field theory describing the low-energy dynamics of a strongly frustrated ($\alpha \gg 1$) spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ zigzag chain for a nonzero magnetization m . For small m the LL parameter $K_- \simeq \frac{1}{2}$, and the inter-sector g_2 term has a higher scaling dimension than the strongly relevant g_1 term in the antisymmetric sector. In this case the system is in a phase with relevant coupling in antisymmetric sector, as discussed for the first time in Ref. [17] (later dubbed EO phase [10]). In contrast to that, at $h = 0$ all terms generated by the zigzag coupling are only marginal.

When h increases, the chirality product operator (6) can become more relevant than the g_1 term controlling the field ϕ_- ; the latter term becomes less relevant with the increase of h as well as with the increase of the zigzag *antiferromagnetic* coupling J_1 . To study this situation,

one can apply a mean field decoupling procedure to the inter-sector term in the spirit of Ref. [1]. At the mean field level, the interaction $\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}}$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{MF} &= g_1 \cos k_F \cos(k_F + \sqrt{8\pi K_-} \phi_-) \\ &\quad - g_2 \partial_x \theta_+ \langle \sin \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{K_-}} \theta_- \rangle - g_2 \langle \partial_x \theta_+ \rangle \sin \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{K_-}} \theta_-.\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

Remarkably, the mean field Hamiltonian reveals a competition between the basic and dual field terms of the form $\sin(\gamma \phi_-)$ and $\sin(\delta \theta_-)$ with $\gamma \delta = 4\pi$, exactly the value where the Ising quantum phase transition takes place [18, 19]. To find the critical magnetic field h_{cr} which corresponds to this transition, we equate the RG masses produced by the operators $\sin(\gamma \phi_-)$ and $\sin(\delta \theta_-)$, substituting the averages in (7) by their mean-field values found from self-consistency conditions. Doing so, one obtains an estimate for the renormalized LL parameter K_- at the transition, which happens to be related to the glorious “golden mean” q :

$$2K_-(h_{cr}) = q \equiv (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2.$$

This leads to the following equation for h_{cr} :

$$K(h_{cr}) = \frac{q}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{J_1 K(h_{cr})}{\pi v(h_{cr})} \right\} \quad (8)$$

The fact that $K(h)$ is a monotonically increasing function [13, 20] implies that the critical field decreases with increasing the *antiferromagnetic* zigzag coupling J_1 :

$$(\partial h_{cr} / \partial J_1) < 0 \quad \text{for } J_1 > 0. \quad (9)$$

Numerically solving Eq. (8), one obtains that the maximal value of h_{cr} , achieved at $J_1 \rightarrow 0$, is approximately $h_{cr} \simeq 1.7J_2$, and the spin wave velocity in this limit is still of the order of the bandwidth, $v(h_{cr}) \simeq 0.6J_2$, which justifies the applicability of bosonization formalism close to h_{cr} . Within this approach, there is no indication that the chiral phase would be destabilized by a further increase of the magnetic field, so one may conclude that it extends from h_{cr} up to the saturation field h_s .

Recently, influence of strong magnetic fields on a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ zigzag chain was studied numerically by means of the DMRG technique [10, 11]. The authors of Refs. [10, 11] explain the presence of cusps in the magnetization curve $m(h)$, observed for large $\alpha = J_2/J_1$, in terms of the emergent *two-component* Luttinger liquid phase. Our findings suggest an alternative scenario, according to which the phase above the upper cusp singularity is still described by a *one-component Luttinger liquid*, albeit with a spontaneously broken left-right symmetry. It can be shown [21] that the cusp itself originates from the Ising transition at the boundary of the chiral phase, and that the phase below the lower cusp singularity is also chiral. Thus, comparing our results with the DMRG phase diagram [10], we can conclude that the TL2 phase in Fig. 1 of

Ref. [10] should be identified as a chirally ordered phase. For high fields, in the limit $J_1 \ll J_2$ the stability region of this phase expands with increasing J_1 , in agreement with our result (9). Near the saturation field, this phase extends up to the classical Lifshits point $J_2 = \frac{1}{4}J_1$, which again agrees with our large- S analysis given below.

$S = 1$ chain.— One can obtain a bosonized description of a single (unfrustrated) spin-1 chain in magnetic field exceeding the Haldane gap Δ by accessing the parameters K and v either directly from numerical DMRG studies [22] or, in a more exotic way, from the exact solution of the integrable $O(3)$ nonlinear σ -model (NLSM), which itself is believed to provide a proper effective field-theoretical description [23]. Then, a zigzag $S = 1$ chain in the regime of strong frustration $J_1 \ll J_2$ can be studied along the same lines as done above for the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ case, i.e., treating the zigzag interaction as a perturbation coupling two LLs. The LL parameter of a $S = 1$ chain turns out to be *increasing* from the free fermion value $K = 1$ at $h = \Delta$ with the further increase of the field, so that generally for $h > \Delta$ one has $K > 1$ [22, 23], which resembles a 1D Bose gas [24]. This fact leads to a considerable simplification: coupling two LLs with $K > 1$ by a zigzag interchain coupling yields the same effective field theory (4), but since $K > 1$ the only relevant term is the product of chiralities (6). Thus, in contrast to the $S = \frac{1}{2}$ case, a strongly frustrated spin-1 chain *immediately* enters a chiral phase, described by a one component Luttinger liquid with spontaneously broken chiral symmetry, as far as the external field becomes higher than the gap value. Similar NLSM-based analysis readily applies to any integer- S zigzag chain in the limit of $\alpha \gg 1$ as soon as magnetic field closes the Haldane gap.

Large- S frustrated chain close to the saturation field.— In the vicinity of the saturation field the emergence of chirality can be analyzed for an arbitrary spin value S . In the coherent state path integral representation the effective Lagrangian is given by $\mathcal{L} = -S \sum_n (1 - \cos \phi_n) \partial_t \theta_n - \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle$. Using the ansatz

$$(-1)^n \sin(\phi_n/2) e^{i\theta_n} \equiv \psi_{1,n} e^{i\lambda n} + \psi_{2,n} e^{-i\lambda n}, \quad (10)$$

one can pass to the continuum limit, treating $\psi_{1,2}$ as smooth fields and keeping only non-oscillating terms. For h close to h_s the densities of magnons with momenta around $\pi \pm \lambda$ are small, $|\psi_{1,2}|^2 \ll 1$, so one may neglect any terms of a higher than quartic order. After rescaling the bosonic fields $(2S)^{1/2} \psi_{1,2} \rightarrow \psi_{1/2}$, one arrives at the Lagrangian of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \int dx \sum_{\sigma=1,2} \left\{ i\psi_\sigma^* \partial_t \psi_\sigma - \frac{1}{2m} |\partial_x \psi_\sigma|^2 + \mu |\psi_\sigma|^2 \right\} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int dx \{ u(|\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2)^2 + w |\psi_1|^2 |\psi_2|^2 \}, \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

which was recently discussed in the context of 1D binary Bose-condensate mixtures [25]. The Lagrangian param-

eters are in our case given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= h_s - h, \quad m^{-1} = 8J_2 S \sin^2 \lambda, \\ u &= h_s/S, \quad w = 2\{u - 4J_1(1 + J_1^2/J_2^2) \sin^2 \lambda\}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In the harmonic fluid approach [26] the field operators and densities can be expressed through scalar bosonic fields ϑ , φ as $|\psi_\sigma|^2 = \{\rho_\sigma + \partial_x \varphi_\sigma / \pi\} \sum_m e^{2im(\pi\rho_\sigma x + \varphi_\sigma)}$ and $\psi_\sigma = \{\rho_\sigma + \partial_x \varphi_\sigma / \pi\}^{1/2} e^{i\vartheta_\sigma} \sum_m e^{2im(\pi\rho_\sigma x + \varphi_\sigma)}$, and for $\mu > 0$ the Lagrangian (11) describes two LLs of the form (2), with a density-density interaction. In contrast to Ref. [25], in our case the total particle numbers of the components $n_{1,2} = \int dx |\psi_{1,2}|^2$ (which are separately conserved) are not fixed, but are chosen by the system so as to minimize the energy at $\mu < 0$. It is easy to show that for $w > 0$ the system is unstable against any perturbation making $\rho_1 \neq \rho_2$: indeed, e.g., for $\rho_1 > \rho_2$ the interaction term leads to renormalization $\rho_\sigma \mapsto \rho_\sigma + \langle \partial_x \varphi_\sigma \rangle / \pi$ with $\langle \partial_x \varphi_1 \rangle > \langle \partial_x \varphi_2 \rangle$. As a result, the chiral Z_2 symmetry breaks spontaneously and one of the bands σ gets depleted. The effective theory is a *single* Luttinger liquid with the parameter $K > 1$ depending on the dimensionless coupling constant

$$\gamma = \frac{mu}{\rho_0} \simeq \frac{\pi}{2S \sin \lambda} \left(\frac{h_s}{4J_2 S (1 - h/h_s)} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (13)$$

where $\rho_0 = (2\mu m)^{1/2} / \pi$ is the equilibrium density for small μ (i.e., in the vicinity of the saturation field). For $h \rightarrow h_s$, when $\gamma \gg 1$, the LL parameter tends to 1 and is given by $K \simeq 1 + 4/\gamma$, and for $\gamma \ll 1$ (which, despite the condition $\rho_0 \ll 1$, is formally possible for large S) one has $K \simeq \pi/\sqrt{\gamma}$ [24].

The chirality order parameter is directly related to the density difference, $\kappa \simeq \langle |\psi_1|^2 - |\psi_2|^2 \rangle \sin \lambda$. Neglecting the depleted field and using a known expression for the density correlator [24], one obtains the leading asymptotics of the chirality correlation function:

$$\langle \kappa(x) \kappa(0) \rangle \simeq \frac{S^2}{\pi^2} \left\{ \frac{h_s - h}{J_2 S} - \frac{2K \sin^2 \lambda}{x^2} \right\}. \quad (14)$$

The longitudinal spin correlator $\langle S^z(x) S^z(0) \rangle$ is also related to the density and behaves similarly to (14). The leading part of the transversal spin correlator can be expressed through $\langle \psi^\dagger(x) \psi(0) \rangle$ and is given by

$$\langle S^+(0) S^-(x) \rangle \simeq 2S \rho_0 \left(\frac{K}{\pi \rho_0 x} \right)^{\frac{1}{2K}} e^{i\lambda x}. \quad (15)$$

Discussion.— In summary, we have shown that a sufficiently strong magnetic field applied to a spin- S isotropic J_1 - J_2 zigzag chain induces a phase with spontaneously broken Z_2 symmetry, which is characterized by the long range vector chirality order and emerges immediately below the saturation field if the frustration strength J_2/J_1 exceeds the classical Lifshits point value $\frac{1}{4}$. This chiral phase is *gapless* and its low-energy physics is effectively

described by a *one-component* Luttinger liquid. Our results refute the two-component LL scenario proposed in Refs. [10, 11], and in fact may necessitate reconsidering the phase diagrams of other frustrated spin models, particularly of a biquadratic-bilinear spin-1 chain in magnetic field [27]. One may suppose that the S1 phase in Fig. 2 of Ref. [27] should have a broken Z_2 symmetry in the region beyond the Lifshits point. To clarify this issue we suggest to measure directly the chirality correlator $\langle \kappa_0^z \kappa_n^z \rangle$ in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ above the cusp singularity. For spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chain, such a correlator was calculated only for very short distances [28] and indicated emergence of at least short-range chirality correlations for h directly below the saturation field h_s .

The chiral phase should be able to survive finite temperature effects since it involves breaking of the discrete Z_2 symmetry. Less trivially, it has also a chance to survive the three-dimensional interaction without transforming into a usual helical long-range order: as noted by Villain [6], at finite temperatures the chirality correlation length is much larger than the spin correlation length, so with decreasing temperature the chiral order should set in before the helical spin order does.

Several materials are known which realize zigzag spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ chains (see Table 1 of Ref. [29]). A promising candidate substance for detecting the field-induced chirality would be $(\text{N}_2\text{H}_5)\text{CuCl}_3$, since its small exchange constants $J_1 \simeq 4$ K and $J_2 \simeq 16$ K make feasible the task of attaining magnetic fields comparable to J_2 . Experimentally, the projection of vector chirality $\vec{\kappa}$ on the applied field direction could be detected by comparing inelastic scattering intensities for oppositely polarized neutrons, as it was done for the triangular lattice antiferromagnet CsMnBr_3 [30]; a similar route can be employed with polarized light. We hope that our results will stimulate further experimental work in this direction.

Acknowledgments.— We thank D. Cabra, A. Honecker, and U. Schollwöck for stimulating discussions. AK is supported by the Heisenberg Fellowship of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

* On leave from the Institute of Magnetism, Academy of Sciences and Ministry of Education, 03142 Kiev, Ukraine

† On leave from the Andronikashvili Institute of Physics, 380077 Tbilisi, Georgia

[1] A. A. Nersesyan, A. O. Gogolin, and F. H. L. Eßler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 910 (1998).

[2] M. Kaburagi, H. Kawamura, and T. Hikihara, J. Phys.

Soc. Jpn. **68**, 3185 (1999).

[3] A. K. Kolezhuk, Phys. Rev. B **62**, R6057 (2000).

[4] P. Lecheminant, T. Jolicoeur, and P. Azaria, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 174426 (2001).

[5] T. Hikihara, M. Kaburagi, and H. Kawamura, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 174430 (2001).

[6] J. Villain, Ann. Isr. Phys. Soc. **2**, 565 (1978).

[7] A. V. Chubukov, Phys. Rev. B **44** (1991), 4693.

[8] M. Affronte, A. Caneschi, C. Cucci, D. Gatteschi, J. C. Lasjaunias, C. Paulsen, M. G. Pini, A. Rettori, and R. Sessoli, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 6282 (1999).

[9] R. S. Khymyn and A. K. Kolezhuk, Messenger Kiev Natl. Univ. (Radiophysics and Electronics) **7**, 41 (2004).

[10] K. Okunishi and T. Tonegawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **72**, 479 (2003).

[11] K. Okunishi, Y. Hieida, and Y. Akutsu, Phys. Rev. B **60**, R6953 (1999).

[12] A. Luther and I. Peschel, Phys. Rev. B **12**, 3908 (1975).

[13] I. Affleck and M. Oshikawa, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 1038 (1999).

[14] N. M. Bogoliubov, A. G. Izergin, and V. E. Korepin, Nucl. Phys. B **275**, 687 (1986).

[15] F. H. L. Essler, A. Furusaki, and T. Hikihara, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 064410 (2003); T. Hikihara and A. Furusaki, *ibid.* **69**, 064427 (2004).

[16] In fact, (4) contains also the term $mg_0\partial_x\phi_+$, which however can be absorbed by the redefinition $\phi_+ \rightarrow \phi_+ + (g_0/v)mx$ without affecting our subsequent arguments.

[17] D. C. Cabra, A. Honecker, and P. Pujol, Eur. Phys. J. B **13**, 55 (2000).

[18] K. Totsuka, Eur. Phys. J. B **5**, 705 (1998).

[19] M. Arlego, D. C. Cabra, J. E. Drut, and M. D. Grynberg, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 144426 (2003).

[20] D. C. Cabra, A. Honecker, and P. Pujol, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 6241 (1998).

[21] T. Vekua, in preparation.

[22] L. Campos Venuti, E. Ercolessi, G. Morandi, P. Pieri, and M. Roncaglia, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **16**, 1363 (2002).

[23] R. M. Konik and P. Fendley Phys. Rev. B **66**, 144416 (2002).

[24] M. A. Cazalilla, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **37** S1 (2004).

[25] M. A. Cazalilla and A. F. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 150403 (2003).

[26] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 1840 (1981).

[27] G. Fáth and P. B. Littlewood, Phys. Rev. B **58** R14709, (1998).

[28] S. Yoshikawa, K. Okunishi, M. Senda, and S. Miyashita, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73**, 1798 (2004).

[29] M. Hase, H. Kuroe, K. Ozawa, O. Suzuki, H. Kitazawa, G. Kido, and T. Sekine, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 104426 (2004).

[30] S. V. Maleyev, V. V. Plakhty, O. P. Smirnov, J. Wosnitza, D. Visser, R. K. Kremer, and J. Kulda, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **10**, 951 (1998); S. V. Maleyev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4682 (1995).